

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Finance FDF **Federal Finance Administration FFA**

Brief report on financial statistics

25.04.2019 Date:

Public finance trends: initial results for 2017 and 2018-2019 forecasts

Contents

1.	Consolidated accounts of the government units	. 2
2.	Financial statistics indicators in accordance with international guidelines	. 5
3.	Appendix	. 9
	3.1. Information on methodology	. 9
	3.2. Glossary of financial statistics indicators	14

This overview presents the results for the consolidated accounts of the general government sector (Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds). Provisional 2017 financial statistics data for the Confederation, cantons and social security funds are published in it, as well as extrapolations for the municipalities. Moreover, forecasts are published for all general government sub-sectors for 2018 and 2019.1

Based on the consolidated accounts, which are prepared in accordance with the Harmonized Accounting Model for the Cantons and Municipalities (HAM2), the Federal Finance Administration (FFA) also calculates financial statistics indicators according to the guidelines of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). These are calculated according to the Government Finance Statistics Manual (GFSM 2014).

A brief report on the latest financial statistics results can be found below. Information on the methodology is provided in the appendix. Technical notes on earlier new items and changes, as well as comprehensive time series and detailed data pertaining to the individual sub-sectors

¹ Forecasts for the horizon of the 2020-2022 legislature financial plan are also published. These years are not commented on in this report.

of the general government can be found online.² The more detailed annual report, "Switzerland's financial statistics for 2017", will be published at the end of October 2019.

1. Consolidated accounts of the government units

For the national financial statistics, the closing accounts of the various government units are restated in a uniform structure based on the Harmonized Accounting Model for the Cantons and Municipalities (HAM2). This approach allows a direct comparison of the government units within Switzerland. Table 1 shows how the financing statements for 2013 to 2019 pan out. The *ordinary fiscal balance* refers to the balance of ordinary receipts and expenditure; the *overall fiscal balance* also includes extraordinary transactions and therefore corresponds to the overall result of the financing statement. It is thus preferable to describe economic aspects using the ordinary fiscal balance. The breakdown between ordinary and extraordinary effects is essentially the same as that used in the financial statements of the Confederation, cantons and municipalities in accordance with their legal framework. Contributions for the funding of pension funds are an exception; these are all recorded as extraordinary items for comparability reasons, especially for comparing personnel expenditure.

The general government's **ordinary fiscal balance** was clearly better in 2017 than the previous year, and amounted to 5.4 billion. This positive result was driven primarily by the Confederation's high surplus (3.7 bn, including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units) and to a lesser extent by that of the cantons (1.2 bn) and social security funds (0.9 bn). In the case of the Confederation, ordinary receipts posted a significant year-on-year increase, due mainly to higher withholding tax receipts. In contrast, expenditure growth was much weaker. It was strongest in the task areas of education (ETH Domain, Swiss National Science Foundation SNSF and EU research programs), finances and taxes (cantons' shares of direct federal tax and withholding tax) and social security (Confederation's payments to old-age and survivors' insurance).

The cantons will be able to present an ordinary result of more than 1 billion for the second time in a row in 2017. Ordinary receipts were up primarily because of an increase in taxes on the income of natural persons. Moreover, the cantons benefited from the high federal receipts from withholding tax and the SNB profit distribution of 1.7 times the normal amount. Expenditure grew at about the same pace as receipts, especially in the task areas of health, social security and education. The transfer of hospital real estate to the respective operators in the cantons of Solothurn and St. Gallen played an important role in the case of health expenditure.

The municipalities' ordinary fiscal balance is likely to be negative for the sixth consecutive year in 2017 (-0.4 bn), with expenditure growth slightly exceeding the rise in receipts. The social security funds' ordinary fiscal balance posted a small year-on-year improvement in 2017 and ended the year with a surplus of 0.9 billion. While the ordinary results of disability insurance (IV) and unemployment insurance (ALV) remained positive, old-age and survivors' insurance (AHV) posted a deficit for the second time in succession.

For 2018 and 2019, current forecasts are reckoning on very high ordinary fiscal balances for the general government (7.3 bn and 5.8 bn, respectively). This result will be driven primarily by the Confederation, but also by the cantons and social security funds, while the municipalities are likely to end the year slightly in the red.

According to the federal financial statements, ordinary receipts posted another year-on-year increase in 2018, due mainly to higher direct federal tax receipts.³ Withholding tax receipts likewise contributed to the positive trend of total receipts, which remained at a similarly high level as in 2017. In contrast, the Confederation's ordinary expenditure was only slightly higher

² https://www.efv.admin.ch/efv/en/home/themen/finanzstatistik/uebersicht-staatsfinanzen.html

³ Federal Finance Administration (2019). 2018 financial statements: another pleasing surplus. Press release of 13.02.2019, Bern.

than the previous year. Including separate accounts and the decentralized administrative units, which generated significant surpluses particularly because of the railway infrastructure fund and the motorway and urban transportation fund, the Confederation's ordinary result amounted to around 4.6 billion in 2018. In 2019, the Confederation is likely to have an ordinary surplus of around 2.8 billion.

		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
General government	Receipts	205,913	206,496	214,478	215,638	221,756	227,038	230,529
	Expenditure	204,649	207,411	213,005	212,866	216,152	219,816	224,868
	Overall fis cal balance	1,265	-915	1,474	2,772	5,603	7,222	5,661
	Ordinary fiscal balance	1,518	685	4,347	2,979	5,380	7,266	5,765
Confederation (1)	Receipts	67,045	64,944	69,251	69,367	72,777	74,102	74,506
	Expenditure	64,575	64,749	66,545	67,495	68,878	69,430	71,720
	Overall fis cal balance	2,470	194	2,706	1,872	3,899	4,672	2,786
	Ordinary fiscal balance	1,414	-18	2,212	1,394	3,721	4,582	2,786
Cantons	Receipts	81,312	82,782	86,046	87,279	89,329	91,915	94,338
	Expenditure	83,222	85,167	88,537	86,743	88,143	90,006	92,413
	Overall fis cal balance	-1,910	-2,385	-2,490	536	1,186	1,909	1,924
	Ordinary fiscal balance	-826	-661	764	1,117	1,174	2,052	2,028
Municipalities	Receipts	44,504	45,444	46,832	47,390	48,377	49,390	50,497
	Expenditure	45,945	46,325	47,249	47,736	48,746	49,462	50,582
	Overall fis cal balance	-1,442	-881	-417	-346	-370	-72	-85
	Ordinary fiscal balance	-1,215	-792	-305	-240	-404	-80	-85
Social security funds	Receipts	60,814	61,958	62,281	62,670	63,495	63,572	64,544
	Expenditure	58,668	59,802	60,605	61,960	62,607	62,859	63,509
	Overall fis cal balance	2,146	2,156	1,676	710	888	713	1,035
	Ordinary fiscal balance	2,146	2,156	1,676	710	888	713	1,035

Table 1: 2013-2019 accounts, in CHF mn

¹ Including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units

Grayed: extrapolations and forecasts

The currently available cantonal data is pointing to an ordinary surplus of 2.1 billion in 2018. Receipts are likely to grow much more sharply than expenditure. The cantons will benefit not only from higher tax receipts, but also from the high federal receipts from direct federal tax and withholding tax. The ordinary fiscal balance is likely to remain high at around 2 billion in 2019 as well.

The municipalities' ordinary fiscal balance is likely to improve in 2018 and be only slightly below zero in 2019. The low rate of unemployment should help to ensure that the social security funds post clear surpluses in both 2018 and 2019. The ordinary fiscal balance is likely to be 0.7 billion and 1 billion, respectively.



Figure 1: 1990-2019 ordinary fiscal balance, in CHF mn

The general government's **overall fiscal balance** rose by 2.8 billion in 2017 and reached just over 5.6 billion. The Confederation recognized extraordinary receipts from COMCO fines for the manipulation of financial market figures (99 mn) and additional payments within the framework of the Swissair liquidation settlement (78 mn). The Confederation's financing surplus was 3.9 billion. The cantons and municipalities had only small amounts of receipts and expenditure in the extraordinary budget. Consequently, there is only a minor difference between the fiscal balances and the ordinary results. In the case of the cantons, the overall fiscal balance was 1.2 billion, whereas a deficit is to be expected for the municipalities based on the current extrapolation (-0.4 bn). The social security funds did not record any extraordinary receipts or expenditure, which is why their results corresponded to the ordinary balances.

The general government's positive overall fiscal balance is likely to continue to rise in 2018 and amount to around 7.2 billion. The Confederation, cantons and municipalities recognized the repayment (including interest) of unduly received subsidies by PostAuto AG (around 190 mn in total) as extraordinary receipts. In the case of the cantons, these receipts stand against extraordinary expenditure to fund public-sector pension funds, particularly in the cantons of Basel Landschaft, St. Gallen and Valais (probably 234 mn).

A financing surplus of 5.7 billion is assumed for the general government in 2019. No extraordinary expenditure or receipts are foreseen for the Confederation, municipalities and social security funds. According to current information, only the cantons can expect 104 million in extraordinary expenditure for the restructuring of public-sector pension funds, particularly in the cantons of Basel Landschaft and Valais.

2. Financial statistics indicators in accordance with international guidelines

The finances of the government units are additionally published in accordance with the guidelines of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to facilitate international comparisons. The IMF's Government Finance Statistics Manual 2014 (GFSM 2014) forms the methodological foundation for the data and indicators published.

The government unit indicators used in financial statistics comprise a set of five aggregates, each of which is expressed as a percentage of nominal gross domestic product, GDP (Table 2). Nominal GDP growth was 1.2% in 2017 and 3.2% in 2018. This should be taken into account when interpreting the financial statistics indicators' trend. The GDP trend for 2019 is based on the official economic forecasts of the federal government's group of experts, published on March 14, 2019.⁴

		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Deficit/surplus ratio	General government	-0.4	-0.2	0.6	0.4	1.2	1.3	0.9
	Confederation	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.4
	Cantons	-0.7	-0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Municipalities	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Social security funds	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Tax-to-GDP ratio	General government	27.1	26.9	27.6	27.8	28.5	28.0	28.1
	Confederation	9.6	9.4	9.9	9.8	10.4	10.1	10.1
	Cantons	6.7	6.7	6.8	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
	Municipalities	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4
	Social security funds	6.7	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6
Expenditure ratio	General government	33.1	32.7	32.8	33.0	33.0	32.5	32.8
	Confederation	10.5	10.4	10.6	10.7	10.8	10.4	10.6
	Cantons	13.9	13.5	13.6	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.6
	Municipalities	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.2
	Social security funds	9.1	9.1	9.2	9.3	9.3	9.1	9.0
Debt ratio (Maastricht)	Generalgovernment	30.3	30.6	30.0	29.0	29.3	27.7	26.8
	Confederation	16.4	15.8	15.0	14.0	14.5	13.3	12.6
	Cantons	7.5	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.3	8.0	7.8
	Municipalities	6.6	6.7	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6
	Social security funds	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
Gross debtratio	General government	42.9	43.0	43.0	41.8	42.7	40.5	39.3
	Confederation	20.7	21.0	20.9	19.8	20.8	19.3	18.4
	Cantons	12.1	12.1	12.2	12.5	12.3	11.8	11.4
	Municipalities	10.2	9.9	10.0	9.7	9.7	9.5	9.5
	Social security funds	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.1

	Table 2:	2013-2019	indicators.	in	% of	GDP
--	----------	-----------	-------------	----	------	-----

¹ Including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units

Grayed: extrapolations and forecasts

In the case of the GFS Model, the data is determined using standards that are different from those used for the fiscal balance interpreted in the first part of this report (Appendix 3.2). This ensures that the indicators are internationally comparable. As with the preceding data, the indicators are based on the consolidated results of the Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds.

The general government had a **surplus ratio** of 1.2% overall for 2017 (Figure 2). While the Confederation's expenditure growth was rather modest in 2017, receipts surged year on year, driven by the exceptional development of withholding tax. Consequently, the Confederation had a very high surplus ratio of 0.8% of GDP. While the social security funds ended the year with a surplus of 0.1% of GDP, like the previous year, the cantons even had a surplus ratio of 0.3%. The municipalities are likely to achieve a virtually balanced result once again.

⁴ State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (2019). Weaker global economy slow s exports. Press release of 14.03.2019, Bern.

Thanks to the surprisingly high receipts from withholding tax and direct federal tax, the Confederation's total receipts reached a similarly high level in 2018 as the previous year. Given that this was accompanied by slightly lower expenditure, the Confederation had a high surplus ratio of 0.9% of GDP, which it achieved only once before, in 2008. According to initial estimates, the cantons and social security funds can expect positive balances of 0.3% and 0.1%, respectively, in 2018. The municipalities are likely to achieve a virtually balanced result once again. Overall, the result is a surplus ratio of 1.3% for the general government.

Also in 2019, the general government surplus is likely to remain high at 0.9%. While the positive balances of the cantons and social security funds are more or less stable, the Confederation's high balance is set to edge down to 0.4%. Expenditure and receipts are likely to remain broadly balanced again in the case of the municipalities. However, the surpluses of the social security funds in 2018 and 2019 should not hide the imminent retirement provision challenges.



Figure 2: 1990-2019 deficit/surplus ratio, in % of GDP

The **tax-to-GDP ratio**, which measures the general government's total tax receipts (tax and social insurance charges) in relation to GDP, is expected to peak at 28.5% of GDP in 2017. While GDP growth was relatively weak (+1.2%), the Confederation's tax revenue soared (+8.2%), due primarily to the exceptional development of withholding tax. This caused the Confederation's tax-to-GDP ratio to reach a new high of 10.4% of GDP, while the ratios for the cantons (7.0%), municipalities (4.4%) and social security funds (6.7%) remained practically unchanged year on year.

Given the normalization of withholding tax, the general government's tax revenue could lose considerable momentum in 2018 and 2019, which, combined with the high nominal GDP growth in 2018, would result in lower tax-to-GDP ratios. They will probably be around 28% of GDP.



Figure 3: 1990-2019 general government tax-to-GDP ratio and expenditure ratio, in % of GDP

After the **general government expenditure ratio** (Figure 3) surged in the first half of the 1990s and peaked at 34.7% in 2002, it was possible for it to be lowered considerably to 29.9% thanks to the very healthy economic conditions through to 2007 and various relief measures. The surge in 2009 was mainly related to the deterioration of the economic situation and the collapse of GDP as a result of the financial crisis that started in autumn 2008. However, general government expenditure grew only slightly faster than GDP from 2010 to 2016, and the general government expenditure ratio barely edged up. This increase can be explained by the combination of weak nominal GDP growth and the cantons' high extraordinary expenditure for the recapitalization of their pension funds in 2013 to 2016.

The general government expenditure ratio was 33.0% in 2017. The expenditure ratios of the Confederation and municipalities rose by 0.1 percentage points each, while the figures for the cantons and social security funds remained unchanged. In 2018 and 2019, the general government expenditure ratio is expected to decline somewhat again and reach 32.8% in 2019.

In the financial statistics, the debt of the general government, i.e. the consolidated government units, and thus also the **debt ratio** are determined in accordance with the Maastricht definition which applies for the EU member states (Figure 4). The debt ratio skyrocketed during the 1990s and peaked at 48.9% in 1998. This increase was attributable mainly to federal debt. The Confederation's debt ratio has fallen continually since the introduction of the debt brake for the Confederation in fiscal 2003. The debt ratios of the individual sub-sectors have likewise been reduced since 2003 thanks to sometimes high surpluses. The Maastricht debt ratio dropped below 40% of GDP in 2006 and was 30.0% in 2015.

The decline in Maastricht debt ratios is continuing unabated in virtually all sectors for the 2017-2019 period under review. Although the Maastricht debt ratio temporarily edged up for the Confederation in 2017 as a result of a new valuation method for the Confederation bonds held on the liabilities side of the statement of financial position, it is set to fall by 1.2 percentage points already in 2018, and reach 12.6% in 2019. The cantons and social security funds are also able to reduce their debt in absolute terms and not only in relation to GDP; their ratios are still 7.8% and 0.1%, respectively, in 2019. The social security funds' debt reduction is attributable primarily to the repayment of the federal unemployment insurance loan. The general government's Maastricht debt ratio will probably be 26.8% in 2019.



Figure 4: Maastricht debt 1990-2019 for all sub-sectors, in CHF bn (lhs) and in % of GDP for the general government (rhs)

Confederation Cantons Municipalities Social security funds — Debt Ratio (Maastricht)

The **gross debt ratio** states government debt according to the IMF definition as a percentage of GDP (Table 2). It includes more balance sheet items than Maastricht debt. Moreover, certain liability items are valued at market rates (Appendix 3.2). The gross debt ratio is around 10 percentage points higher than the Maastricht debt ratio on average. Despite the methodological differences, the two debt ratios follow a similar path, although the gross debt ratio is somewhat more volatile because of capital market valuation fluctuations.

The gross debt ratio was 42.7% of GDP in 2017. It is likely to fall below the 40% mark by 2019 and reach 39.3%.

3. Appendix

3.1. Information on methodology

The national comparability of the accounting data of Switzerland's government units is ensured with the financial statistics using the FS Model. This is based on the Harmonized Accounting Model for the Cantons and Municipalities (HAM2)⁵. The FS Model is also used as a basis for international comparisons. This comparability is ensured in accordance with the guidelines of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) using the so-called GFS Model of financial statistics. The GFS Model is based on the IMF's Government Finance Statistics Manual 2014 (GFSM 2014), which is specifically targeted to what is needed for analyzing the government units' revenue, financial and asset situation in an economic territory (country).

The conceptual and methodological reconciliation with the system of national accounts of the Federal Statistical Office (FSO) was completed with the publication of 7 September 2017⁶. The system of national accounts data for the general government has been consistent with that of the GFS Model since then. The revisions necessitated by this reconciliation were explained in detail in a technical note.⁷

In the case of the general government sector, the differences between the GFS Model of financial statistics and Switzerland's system of national accounts are limited solely to the different views chosen for presenting the results and the consolidation scope. The GFS Model presents the financial statistics from the viewpoint of fiscal analysis and policy, whereas the system of national accounts focuses on production (added value).

- <u>Consolidation</u>: All transactions between government units are fully consolidated with the GFS Model, whereas the system of national accounts has only partial consolidation. Production-related intermediate consumption and intermediate production between government units are not consolidated in the system of national accounts. Primarily the compensation shown in the FS Model is concerned here in Switzerland.
- The partial consolidation with the system of national accounts results in general government expenditure and general government receipts being increased by the same amount relative to the GFS Model. This has no impact on the deficit/surplus ratio, but the general government expenditure ratio turns out to be too high. The tax-to-GDP ratio is not affected, as taxes and contributions to social security funds are not consolidated.

3.1.1. Overview of financial statistics models

The statistics on Switzerland's public finances, or financial statistics for short, give an overview of the revenue, financial and asset situation of the government units in Switzerland. The financial statistics data is recorded, processed and evaluated in the so-called FS Model, the core of which is based on the HAM2. The Swiss Public Sector Financial Reporting Advisory Committee⁸ (SRS-CSPCP) publishes information on the current status of the HAM2 as well as interpretations concerning individual specialist recommendations and frequently asked questions online on its website.

⁵ See "Harmonized Accounting Model for the Cantons and Municipalities", HAM2, http://www.srs-cspcp.ch/en

⁶ Sw itzerland's system of national accounts is based on the European System of National and Regional Accounts, ESA 2010 (http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/esa-2010/overview). Both the ESA 2010 and the GFSM 2014 are based on the standard reference work for national accounts, the System of National Accounts (SNA 2008) of the international organizations (UNO, OECD, IMF, World Bank, European Commission).

⁷ See technical notes at: https://www.efv.admin.ch/efv/en/home/themen/finanzstatistik/methoden.html (Adjustments to the GFS Model of financial statistics as of September 7, 2017)

⁸ See http://www.srs-cspcp.ch/en

The FS Model is a model for financial statistics that allows the financial results supplied by the Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds to be standardized and made comparable. At the same time, it forms the basic statistics for mapping, statistical operations and evaluations in accordance with the international GFS Model of financial statistics, in which the public finance guidelines of the IMF are implemented.

The HAM2 chart of accounts forms the basis for the economic classification used in the FS Model. On the one hand, it is streamlined to simplify matters and on the other, items "not elsewhere classified" are added, as the cantons and municipalities are still partly supplying their accounts according to the earlier HAM1. These additional items are needed because the HAM1 is less detailed in parts. Consequently, these positions cannot be clearly mapped to HAM2 items. Apart from quite minor exceptions, the functional classifications of the HAM1 and HAM2 are the same. The data from the Confederation's separate accounts and state financial statements, as well as the social security funds, is also integrated in this model and is incorporated directly into the GFS Model.

In the case of the general government sector, both models use the same delimitation criteria for determining the consolidation scope as those used in the national accounts. This sector is subdivided into the economic sub-sectors Confederation (including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units), cantons, municipalities and social security funds. The consolidated general government sector thus covers all government units. Public enterprises are not included.

However, the FS and GFS Models serve different purposes (Table 3). The inclusion of separate accounts and decentralized administrative units in the Confederation sub-sector, for example, leads to indicators that are not directly comparable with those produced in the Confederation's financial reporting.

	FS Model	GFS Model		
Basis National accounting models for the cantons and municipalities (HAM2 and the Confederation (NAM)		International financial statistics guidelines of the IMF (GFSM 2014)		
Objective National comparability of government units Posulte Statements of financial performance		International comparability of the general government sector and its su sectors		
Results	Statements of financial performance and investments, financing statement and statement of financial position	Operating statement, transactions in non-financial assets and balance sheet		
Scope	Economic sub-sectors (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, social security funds) and the general government sector down to the level of individual budgets (cities and cantonal capitals, cantons, social security funds)	Only at the level of the economic sub sectors (Confederation, cantons, municipalities, social security funds) and the general government sector		

Table 3: Overview of financial statistics models

3.1.2. Government Finance Statistics Model (GFS)

Like the FS Model, the GFS Model also uses the accrual basis of accounting, the main feature of which is the allocation of business transactions to the corresponding accounting period. The GFS Model does not provide a breakdown between ordinary and extraordinary results, and

instead distinguishes between transactions and other economic flows. While the net operating balance⁹ and net lending/borrowing¹⁰ are derived from transactions and can be controlled by fiscal policy, unanticipated events¹¹ such as changes in the value of stocks are considered other economic flows, which are beyond policy control. Stocks are valued in accordance with the "true and fair view" principle, whereby negotiable assets and liabilities in particular are recognized in the balance sheet¹² at fair value.

Investments are also reported differently in the GFS Model than in the FS Model. A key variable in transactions in non-financial assets in the GFS Model is what is termed the net acquisition of non-financial assets. This is defined as acquisitions less disposals of non-financial assets and less the consumption of fixed capital. Unlike in the FS Model, however, loans granted to other government units and associated increases in financial interests are not recognized as investments and are thus not included when calculating transactions in non-financial assets. They are treated as transfers to other general government levels and are thus considered part of the operating statement.

3.1.3. Data sources

The financial statistics are based on the data disclosed in the state financial statements of the Confederation and all the cantons, as well as the annual reports of around 1,470 municipalities. All cities and cantonal capitals as well as random samples per canton are taken into account. Based on the accounts of the municipalities surveyed, estimates and extrapolations are made for the other municipalities per canton. Social security funds are also included (old-age and survivors' insurance, disability insurance, compensation for loss of earnings and unemployment insurance, agriculture family allowances, maternity insurance in Geneva). Institutions that are counted in the government units but not included in the state and municipal accounts are integrated into the statistics for the sake of comparability and completeness. In contrast, public enterprises that are consolidated in the state and municipal accounts are excluded (see 3.1.4.). For these reasons, the evaluations in the financial statistics do not necessarily correspond to the financial statements published by the Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds.

Due to the vast workload involved in compiling and harmonizing the data caused by the federal structure of the government units, there is generally a time lag of some 18 months before publication of the definitive results for each fiscal year. However, estimates can be used to provide more up-to-date statements and forecasts for the general government sector and the individual sub-sectors, i.e. Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds. The financial statistics are based on the financial statements up to 2016 (Table 4). For the Confederation (including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units), the 2017 figures are also based on the corresponding financial statements. The 2018 forecasts are based on the definitive federal financial statements and on the provisional financial statements for the separate accounts and decentralized units. The 2019 forecasts are based on the budget or on the financial plans as the case may be. The 2017 data for the cantons is based on the financial statements (2018) and the cantonal budgets (2019). The forecasts for the municipalities are based on the currently available accounting data (2017) and several indicators (2018-2019).

⁹ Net operating balance = revenue – expense

¹⁰ Net lending/borrow ing = net operating balance – net acquisition of non-financial assets = government receipts – government expenditure

¹¹ Unanticipated events in the GFS Model are not to be confused with extraordinary transactions in the FS Model. For example, the recapitalization of pension funds is not considered as an unanticipated event in the GFS Model. In contrast, the consequences of a natural disaster or valuation changes due to changes in market prices are considered as unanticipated events that are beyond the control of fiscal policy.

¹² The term *balance sheet* is used in the GFS Model instead of the term *statement of financial position*.

The 2018 figures for the social security funds are based on their financial statements, and the 2019 forecasts are based on their budget or financial plan figures.

In the case of the cantons and municipalities, it needs to be borne in mind that the budgets and financial plans used for preparing the forecasts are not uniform. Consequently, discretionary measures (e.g. relief programs, recapitalization of pension funds) of these government units are not always incorporated into the forecasted data. For this reason, the figures are to be interpreted with all due caution as the length of the forecast horizon increases.

The updated results were published on April 25, 2019. All data tables and information on the methods are available online.¹³

Sub-sector	up to 2016	2017	2018	2019
General government	Financial statements	Forecasts	Forecasts	Forecasts
Confederation	Financial statements	Financial statements	Provisional financial	Budget/financial plans
			statements	
Cantons	Financial statements	Financial statements	Financial statements	Budget survey
			survey	
Municipalities	Financial statements	Data available	Forecasts	Forecasts
Social security funds	Financial statements	Financial statements	Provisional financial statements	Budget/financial plans

Table 4: Sources for financial statistics data, April 2019

¹ Including separate accounts and decentralized administrative units

Grayed: extrapolations and forecasts

3.1.4. Differences between financial statistics and state financial statements

The differences between the figures published by the Financial Statistics Section and the government units' own state financial statements are explained below. Such discrepancies can occur in all sub-sectors of the general government (Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds). They generally arise as a result of differences in the understanding of the scope of consolidation, i.e. the sectoring of financial statistics. For the purpose of financial statistics, the entities included in the general government sector are defined in accordance with the criteria of the European System of Accounts (ESA 2010). Thus, in addition to the Confederation as the parent entity, the cantons, municipalities and social security funds, all other entities meeting these criteria are also included. In financial statistics, general government units are all entities that are independent institutions under state control and which either:

- collect taxes,
- redistribute income and wealth, or
- fund less than half of their production costs via sales or fees.

Institutions not meeting these criteria are not included or are removed from the state financial statements. Thus, public enterprises like hospitals, electricity, gas and district heating plants, transportation companies, waterworks, waste incineration plants and antenna installations that cover over half of their production costs through the sale of goods and services or through fees do not fall under the general government sector. Likewise, the general government sector does not include state financial institutions and financial service providers such as the Swiss National Bank, cantonal banks or public-sector pension funds. These are classified as financial corporations. Financial and non-financial corporations are recorded as separate economic sectors in the Swiss system of national accounts, with no distinction being made between public and private enterprises.

The differences between the financial statistics and the state financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting are explained below using the Confederation as an example. According to the financial statistics, the Confederation sub-sector comprises the Confederation itself as the parent entity as well as the separate accounts that appear in the

¹³ https://www.efv.admin.ch/efv/en/home/themen/finanzstatistik/daten.html

Confederation's financial reporting but which are not consolidated with the parent entity: the Swiss Federal Institutes of Technology Domain (ETH), the Swiss Alcohol Board (SAB), the Infrastructure fund, the FinPT fund for the financing of major railway projects, and from 2016 the railway infrastructure fund (RIF), which has replaced the FinPT fund. It also includes the decentralized administrative units that are more than 50% funded by the Confederation, as set out in the ESA 2010 criteria: Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), Swiss Federal Institute for Vocational Education and Training (SFIVET), Pro Helvetia Arts Council, Swiss National Museum, Switzerland Tourism, Swiss Federal Institute of Metrology (METAS), grid supplement fund (CRF) and Building Foundation for International Organisations (FIPOI). On the other hand, FINMA and its predecessors are funded mainly through fees and supervisory duties paid by the regulated bodies and are thus not included; they are no longer included in the Confederation's state financial statements. The differences between the national FS Model and the international GFS Model also have to be taken into account. These exist primarily through the separate booking of other economic flows in the GFS Model. This leads to a narrower definition of revenue and expense in the GFS Model. Table 5 shows the sequence for moving from the balances in the state financial statements in accordance with the Confederation's financial reporting to the balances calculated using the GFS Model. The lower part of the table shows the general government debt with the Maastricht definition, the socalled Maastricht debt, and the differences between gross debt according to financial reporting, gross debt with the national FS Model and Maastricht debt.

Net lending/borrowing	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Ordinary result financial reporting	1,332	-124	2,337	752	2,621
+ Extraordinary result financial reporting	1,306	213	493	478	177
Overall fiscal balance financial reporting	2,638	89	2,831	1,230	2,798
+ Balance from consolidation of separate accounts and decentralized administrative units financed primarily by tax (1)	-168	105	-125	642	1,100
Overall fiscal balance FS Model	2,470	194	2,706	1,872	3,899
- Balance sheet transactions adjustment (2)	895	-234	-184	173	233
- Other economic flow s adjustment (2)	39	-5	10	-523	22
+ Accrual accounting (3)	-258	-357	-942	-906	44
+ Statistical operations (4)	761	823	1,659	856	2,938
- Net acquisition of non-financial assets	1,113	900	1,008	1,200	1,461
Net lending/borrowing GFS Model (5)	926	-1	2,588	971	5,166

 Table 5:
 Differences in the Confederation's financial reporting – FS Model – GFS

 Model, in CHF mn

Gross debt	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Gross debt in accordance with financial reporting	111,638	108,797	103,805	98,819	105,242
- Financial derivatives (negative replacement values)	225	166	203	178	125
+ Gross debt separate accounts/consolidation (1)	-964	-934	-1,221	-963	-717
Gross debt FS	110,449	107,696	102,381	97,678	104,400
+ Coins in circulation according to SNB	2,954	3,011	3,061	3,095	3,142
 Outstanding invoices, advance payments received, tax liabilities, cash deposits (confiscated assets) 	-8,549	-8,170	-7,206	-8,080	-10,408
Maastrichtdebt	104,854	102,537	98,236	92,693	97,134

(1) Separate accounts (IF, SAB, RIF, FinPT (up to 2015)) and decentralized administrative units financed primarily by tax (ETH, SFIVET, Sw iss National Science Foundation, Pro Helvetia, Sw itzerland Tourism, Sw iss National Museum, METAS, CRF, FIPOI)

(2) Not included in the balance in accordance with the GFS Model

(3) Entries without a financial impact (included in the GFS balance)

(4) Statistical operations for the purpose of adjusting to the IMF's GFSM 2014

(5) Net lending/borrow ing = revenue - expense - net acquisition of non-financial assets

13/15

3.2. Glossary of financial statistics indicators

The financial statistics indicators are stated using the international GFS Model of financial statistics in accordance with the guidelines of the IMF. The Maastricht debt ratio is calculated according to the EU's definition.¹⁴ This ensures that the indicators are internationally comparable. The indicators for the general government sector and its sub-sectors (Confederation, cantons, municipalities and social security funds) are based on five aggregates, each of which is expressed as a percentage of GDP. They serve primarily as a basis for international comparisons. The ratios are based on nominal GDP in accordance with the European System of Accounts (ESA 2010).

Tax-to-GDP ratio: The tax-to-GDP ratio measures the general government sector's total tax revenue (tax and social security contributions) in relation to nominal GDP. In addition, the tax ratios of the Confederation, cantons and municipalities as well as the social security funds can be calculated. Social security contributions include mandatory contributions for old-age and survivors' insurance, disability insurance, compensation for loss of earnings and unemployment insurance, agriculture family allowances and maternity insurance in the canton of Geneva. Although mandatory, health insurance, accident insurance and pension fund contributions are not taken into account, as these corporations do not belong to the general government sector. Therefore, the tax-to-GDP ratio is not to be understood as the ratio of compulsory payments. The tax-to-GDP ratio expresses the proportion of GDP used by the general government to finance its tasks using taxes and contributions. A major divergence between the general government expenditure ratio and the tax-to-GDP ratio may be an indication of a debt-financed budget. In Switzerland, however, the difference is mainly due to other revenue (e.g. fees or charges), which are not included in the tax-to-GDP ratio.

General government expenditure ratio: The tax-to-GDP ratio serves to finance the general government expenditure ratio, which is defined as total government expenditure in relation to nominal GDP. Total expenditure (current expense and net acquisition of non-financial assets) in all sectors is taken into account in the process. Tax ratios can also be calculated for the individual sub-sectors of the general government sector.

Deficit/surplus ratio: The deficit/surplus ratio for the general government sector or one of its sub-sectors corresponds to net lending/borrowing in accordance with the GFS Model as a percentage of nominal GDP. Net lending/borrowing can be calculated in two ways:

Net lending/borrowing = revenue – expense – net acquisition of non-financial assets = government receipts – government expenditure

Debt ratio: The Maastricht debt ratio shows the relationship between the consolidated debt of the general government sector and nominal GDP. The debt used for calculating this indicator includes the following financial instruments on the liabilities side of the balance sheet using the GFS Model: currency and deposits, debt instruments and loans. However, in accordance with the Maastricht definition, these are valued at face value instead of fair value. The definition of the financial instruments taken into account is somewhat narrower than the definition of gross debt in the current Harmonized Accounting Model for the Cantons and Municipalities (HAM2). Some current liabilities under the FS Model¹⁵ which are part of gross debt in accordance with the HAM2 are allocated to other accounts payable under the GFS Model, which are not part of Maastricht debt.

Gross debt ratio: The gross debt ratio states general government debt according to the IMF definition as a percentage of nominal GDP. With the exception of financial derivatives, it includes all liabilities on the liabilities side of the balance sheet and is more broadly defined than Maastricht debt and is therefore always higher in principle. Another important difference is that the IMF requires market valuation of debt capital insofar as possible. The IMF gross

¹⁴ See Eurostat (2016): Manual on Government Deficit and Debt – Implementation of ESA 2010, Part VIII, Luxembourg, http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-manuals-and-guidelines/-/KS-GQ-16-001

¹⁵ E.g. current liabilities on trade accounts payable or advance payments received

debt ratio is therefore subject to significantly greater fluctuations than the Maastricht debt ratio, where debt is included at face value. Bonds and other market-traded debt instruments, in particular, reached a relatively high level in the last few years compared with their face value. Nonetheless, the IMF gross debt ratio follows a similar trend to the Maastricht debt ratio and is 10 percentage points higher than it on average.

Government unit: The consolidated accounts of a government unit adjusted for internal transactions are made up of its own accounts (parent entity) and the separate accounts of all institutional entities for consolidation that are under the control of the executive and legislative bodies of said government unit. All controlled entities whose sales of goods and services do not cover at least 50% of production costs are for consolidation. If, however, the consolidated financial statements of a government unit include government-controlled entities that are largely self-financed via the sale of goods and services, these are removed. Such entities are treated as public market producers or public enterprises. The scope of the general government sector is described in more detail in the annual report on Switzerland's financial statistics¹⁶, which contains a list of added and eliminated entities.

¹⁶ See https://www.efv.admin.ch/efv/en/home/themen/finanzstatistik/uebersicht-staatsfinanzen.html